

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/885,632	06/19/2001	Christopher H. Elving	15437-0536	4525	
29989	7590 12/30/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP			ZHEN	ZHEN, LI B	
2055 GATEW	'AY PLACE			· · ·	
SUITE 550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA 95110			2194		

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/885,632	ELVING, CHRISTOPHER H.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Li B. Zhen	2194				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stati- teeply received by the Office later than three months after the mai- and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	October 2005.					
· ·		is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow	rance except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-4,7,10-13 and 36-45 is/are pendir	ng in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,10-13 and 36-45</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) 🗌	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreiç ☑ All b)☑ Some * c)⊡ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bure	` ''					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receive	d.				
			JANA THOMSON				
		WIL SUDED//IS	LIAM THOMSON ORY PATENT EXAMINER				
Attachment	:(s)	POLEUNIO	9111 11 =				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
_	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 8) 5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/885,632

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

1. 1-4, 7, 10-13 and 36-45 are pending in the current application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 36, 37 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,173,307 to Drews.
- 5. As to claim 1, Drews teaches a computer-implemented method for buffering data [col. 2, lines 60 col. 3, line 14] in a multithreaded environment [col. 6, lines 20 31], comprising:

Art Unit: 2194

reading a buffer index value that identifies a data buffer that was last used for buffering data ["next-ready" marker indicates the next slot to be made available to the consumer; col. 3, lines 20 – 39];

incrementing the buffer index value, locating a buffer array entry that is associated with the buffer index value [A "next-available" marker indicates the next slot available to the producer; col. 3, lines 20 – 39];

determining at a particular time, whether the buffer array entry indicates a particular value [Producers examine the count to determine whether consumers have made one or more slots available; col. 3, lines 39 – 53];

if the buffer array entry does not indicate the particular value at the particular time, then in response to a determination at the particular time that the buffer array entry does not indicate the particular value [determined that a slot is available (block 514), a producer indivisibly increments the next-available marker and examines the old value to acquire a distinct slot (block 520); col. 3, lines 54 – col. 4, line 12], attempting to obtain a lock on a particular data buffer that is associated with the buffer array entry ["producer-completer" mutual exclusion token; col. 5, lines 16 – 44]; and

if the buffer array entry indicates the particular value at the particular time then, in response to a determination at the particular time that the buffer array entry indicates the particular value, incrementing the buffer index value without attempting to obtain a lock on the particular data buffer [increments the "next-ready" marker (block 818), and indivisibly increments the count of slots available to consumers (block 820), stopping as

Application/Control Number: 09/885,632 Page 4

Art Unit: 2194

soon as it encounters a slot marked as "still in-progress" (path 812); col. 5, lines 16 – 45].

- 6. As to claim 2, Drews teaches if the attempt to obtain the lock on the particular data buffer succeeds then updating the buffer array entry to indicate the particular value [col. 4, line 63 col. 5, line 6].
- 7. As to claims 36 and 37, these are product claims that correspond to method claims 1 and 2. These claims are similar in scope to claims 1 and 2; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 1 and 2 above.
- 8. As to claim 45, this is a system claim that corresponds to method claim 1. This claim is similar in scope to claim 1; there, this is rejected for the same reason as claim 1 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 3, 4, 7, 10 13 and 38 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drews in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,182,086 to Lomet et al. [hereinafter referred to as Lomet, cited in the previous office action].

Art Unit: 2194

11. As to claim 3, Drews teaches selecting a particular buffer management structure from a plurality of buffer management structures [col. 3, lines 39 – 54], wherein said plurality of buffer management structures are each associated with a set of data buffers that are used for buffering data to a physical memory unit [col. 4, lines 13 – 23];

wherein the buffer index value is associated with the particular buffer management structure [col. 3, lines 20 – 39]. Drews does not teach receiving a connection request from a client and assigning a thread of execution to process said connection request.

- 12. However, Lomet teaches assigning a thread of execution [in a separate process or thread; col. 17, lines 20 36] to process said connection request [At step 60, the client sends a request to the server; col. 7, lines 28 39].
- 13. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of receiving a connection request from a client and assigning a thread of execution to process said connection request as taught by Lomet to the invention of Drews because allows server recovery independent of client recovery, while client recovery is dependent on the server [col. 6, lines 50 54 of Lomet].
- 14. As to claim 4, Drews as modified teaches generating log data in response to a request for accessing a resource [server force logs replies sent back to clients in response to client requests as a means for providing a persistent log against which an application state of a client application can be replayed to enable recovery; col. 6, lines

Art Unit: 2194

40 – 55 of Lomet], wherein said resource represents one or more sets of content that are associated with a network server [a resource manager 82 that maintains temporary copies of data pages and application states; col. 8, line 60 – col. 9, line 10 of Lomet]; and

selecting a buffer management structure based on one or more addresses in which said one or more sets of content are stored on said network server [stable database 94 maintains stable versions of the application states (including address spaces) and data objects, and the stable log 96 maintains a sequence of logged operations; col. 9, lines 15 – 23 of Lomet].

- 15. As to claim 7, Drews as modified teaches writing log data into said particular data buffer [col. 7, lines 29 39 of Lomet].
- 16. As to claim 10, Drews as modified teaches maintaining a plurality of data buffers as an array of available buffers [col. 4, lines 13 23 of Drews]; and in response to detecting that the particular data buffer contains a particular limited amount of free data space, removing said particular data buffer from said array of available buffers [col. 4, lines 22 38 of Drews].
- 17. As to claim 11 Drews as modified teaches removing said particular data buffer from said array of available buffers further comprises linking said particular data buffer into a list of ready-to-write data buffers [col. 4, line 63 col. 5, line 6 of Drews].

Application/Control Number: 09/885,632 Page 7

Art Unit: 2194

18. As to claim 12, Drews as modified teaches removing said particular data buffer from said array of available buffers [col. 4, line 63 – col. 5, line 6 of Drews]; and storing on a non-volatile storage unit information contained in said particular data buffer [non-volatile memory 78 includes a stable database 94 and a stable log 96....the stable log 96 maintains a sequence of logged operations; col. 9, lines 15 – 25 of Lomet].

- 19. As to claim 13, Drews as modified teaches maintaining a plurality of data buffers as an array of available buffers [col. 4, lines 23 38 of Drews]; and in response to determining that no data buffer is available in said array of available buffers for storing said log data, requesting a free data buffer from a global list of free data buffers [col. 3, lines 20 39 of Drews].
- 20. As to claims 38 44, these are product claims that correspond to method claims 3, 4, 7 and 10 13. These claims are similar in scope to claims 3, 4, 7 and 10 13; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 3, 4, 7 and 10 13 above.

Conclusion

- 21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Patent No. 5,867,734 to Drews teaches a circular queue that provides a mechanism for producers of fixed-size data items to deliver those items to consumers.

Art Unit: 2194

22. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

CONTACT INFORMATION

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Li B. Zhen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3768. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson can be reached on 571-272-3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/885,632 Page 9

Art Unit: 2194

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Li B. Zhen Examiner Art Unit 2194

lbz

WILLIAM THOMSON WILLIAM THOMSON EDVISORY PATENT EXAMINER